Exhibit 7

                                            Page 1

UNEDITED, UNCERTIFIED, ROUGH DRAFT ONLY

Deposition of 7-21-2009. Direct by George.

             VIDEOGRAPHER: Today is July 21st, 2009.

This is the videotaped deposition of Craig Thompson

taken in the case of SFA Systems, LLC versus Infor

Global Solutions, Incorporated, et al., Civil Action No.

6:07 CV 067. The time is 10 o'clock a.m. We're on the

record at Thomspon & Knight in Dallas, Texas. We're on

the record.

                    CRAIG WARREN THOMPSON,

having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

             MR. GEORGE: I'm Bruce George of Blank Rome

Philadelphia for Defendant, Infor Global Solutions, with

me is Joel Dion, also with Blank Rome.

             MR. PRIDHAM: David Pridham here on behalf

of the Plaintiff.

             THE WITNESS: I'm Craig Thompson.

                      EXAMINATION

BY MR. GEORGE:

    Q. Hi, Dr. Thompson, thanks for coming today.

Just to get started, when were you first retained in

this matter?

    A. The very end of April, I think, the 30th.

    Q. Who contacted you?

1   Court's description of inferring.

2   Q.   Okay.   So for this reason, you feel that the

3   written description requirement is satisfied.

4   A.   Yes.

5   Q.   And you also feel the enablement requirement is

6   satisfied.

7   A.   Yes.   Because there were systems that could do

8   some forms of inference and there were systems -- I

9   mean, they were implemented systems, so one could review

10   systems that did inference for an understanding of how

11   to build a system that did inference.

12   Q.   Okay.   So that is based on knowledge of skill

13   in the art.

14   A.   Right.

15   Q.   Okay.   Let's move to page 38.   First full

16   paragraph.   According to known prior art at the time of

17   the '525 invention, an inference engine, depending on

18   its implementation and control structure, could perform

19   so-called backward chaining and forward chaining as

20   understood in the art at the time of the '525.

21   Are you, therefore, stating that the '525

22   Patent or the disclosure thereof, the invention, uses an

23   inference engine to perform inferring?

24   MR. PRIDHAM: Object to form.

25   A.   No.   I'm reacting, I think, or responding to